UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,721 | 03/19/2004 | Paul Birkmeyer | 101896-0240 | 2720 |
| 21125 NIITTER MCC | 7590 01/19/2007 CLENNEN & FISH LLP | | . EXAMINER | |
| NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST | | | SWIGER III, JAMES L | |
| 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3733 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | Y MODE |
| 3 MC | ONTHS | 01/19/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/708,721 | BIRKMEYER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | James L. Swiger | 3733 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 De | ecember 2006. | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| · | 8) Claim(s) israre objected to: 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| 9)∐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $12/1/2006$ is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | _ | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents | |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Journal admines office senting a not of the volumes copies not leadings. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 14-19 in the reply filed on 7/10/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/10/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Branch et al. (US Patent 6,200,322) in view of Spitler (US Pub 2005/0085813). Branch et al. disclose a method of spinal fixation comprising he steps of implanting a spinal anchor (Col. 3, lines 8-20), the step of providing an access device for introducing the spinal anchor (see Fig. 4b) that includes an lumen for the anchor along a longitudinal axis (Col. 19, line 28). Branch et al. also disclose a device that is able to move a spinal fixation element/anchor between a first orientation and a second orientation (for purposes of this examination either a first or second end trailing; see also Col. 15, lines 51-55, as the orientation of laterally displaceable). The spinal anchor may also extend from a slot in the side of the access device (see 4B) and wherein the 'pusher' device, or

Application/Control Number: 10/708,721

Art Unit: 3733

guiding member, may aid in the delivery of the spinal fixation element (Fig. 16 and 208). Also, wherein a spinal fixation anchor is inserted into the access device (Col. 11, lines 57-59), the spinal implant's outer diameter is at least substantially equal to the inner diameter, which would enable the device to lock with respect to the anchor.

Branch et al. disclose the claimed method except for a spinal element having a feature (aka. A bulbous end) that extends outward into the spinal area. Spitler et al disclose a spinal fixation element (Fig. 9) that has a feature (91) that is further considered at least bulbous and is considered to extend radially outward and is larger than at least the width of one opening in the access device. The fixation element also is elongate and has at least one end substantially flattened (92) and has a feature that is sized to prevent passage thereof through the opening in the access device. See pars 0053-0055.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the method of Branch et al. having at least spinal element having a feature (aka. A bulbous end) that extends outward into the spinal area in view of Spitler et al. to better implant the element in to the spine.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 3733

5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLS

EDUARDO O'. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER